

Restorative Practices Initiatives Grant Program 2025 Community Review	
Tentative Community Review Score Sheet December 2, 2024: Changes may be made prior to review.	
Application Number:	
Applicant Name:	
Project Name:	
Scoring Guide	
Excellent (5)	Outstanding quality, exceeds all aspects of the minimum requirements; no significant weaknesses.
Very strong (4)	Strong, could have included more detail but meets requirements well.
Good (3)	Good Response, meets requirements; some weaknesses, but correctable.
Weak (2)	Lack of essential information; significant weaknesses.
Unsatisfactory (1)	Fails to meet minimum requirements.
Missing information (0)	Missing information or didn't answer the question(s).

ORGANIZATIONAL OVERVIEW			
Organizational Overview Scoring	Points Awarded (0-5)	Weight	Total Points
Does the application provide a description of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The agency (1pt) Its relationship to the advisory committee (1 pt) Local community (including target population) (1 pt) Relevant experience with restorative practices (1 pt) Experience with past grant management (1 pt) 	5	1	5
Organizational Overview Scoring Subtotal			5
Organizational Overview Comments			
Strengths: If you felt this section was strong, what specifically would you share was persuasive to you about the proposed project?			
Weaknesses/Concerns: If you felt this section was weak, what specifically would you share for how to improve the proposed project?			

PLANNING PROCESS			
Planning Process Scoring	Points Awarded (0-5)	Weight	Total Points
Does the application: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide a description of the origins of the initiative, including how the community provided input (3 pts) Describe whether/why they are proposing to expand an existing initiative or begin a new approach (2 pts) 	5	2	10
Does the applicant include relevant information such as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> How harmed party's needs have or have not been met (1 pt) Rate of disruptive behavior in schools (1pt) Neighborhood crime data and/or truancy rates (1pt) Percentage of students doing online education due to interpersonal conflicts (1 pt) How it will serve diverse communities (1pt) 	5	1	5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> How strong is the recommendation letter(s) of the Advisory Committee for this proposal? (2 pts) How well does the list of Advisory Committee members supporting this proposal demonstrate authentic engagement with community members? (2 pts) To what degree are the Advisory Committee members listed in statute reflected in the Committee members listed on the letter of support (1 pt) 	5	2	10
Planning Process Scoring Subtotal			25
Planning Process Comments			
Strengths: If you felt this section was strong, what specifically would you share was persuasive to you about the proposed project?			
Weaknesses/Concerns: If you felt this section was weak, what specifically would you share for how to improve the proposed project?			

PROJECT ACTIVITIES AND WORKPLAN			
Project Activities and Workplan Scoring	Points Awarded (0-5)	Weight	Total Points
Does the application describe and justify the proposed activities, including, as relevant: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The alignment with restorative principles, practices, and values (2 pts) Their engagement with the intervention stage (prevention, prior to court referrals) (1 pt) Training and collaboration plans (1 pt) Referral/outreach and admissions processes, program participation, completion, and termination (1 pt) 	5	2	10
Does the application: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide an estimated number of participants to be served (1 pt) Describe participants to be served (4 pts) 	5	1	5
Does the application define roles and responsibilities of: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key staff assigned to the project from applicant agency and key partner agencies (2 pts) Justice system and educational partners and their contributions (2 pts) Volunteers & community members (1 pt) 	5	1	5
Does the workplan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Align with the project description (1 pt) Specify objectives and related activities, purpose, frequency & outputs, timeline (1 pt) Identify person/s responsible (including external partners) (1 pt) Align with the budget (1 pt) 	5	1	5
Is the workplan: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Feasible and clear (1 pt) 			
Project Activities and Workplan Scoring Subtotal			25
Project Activities and Workplan Comments			
Strengths: If you felt this section was strong, what specifically would you share was persuasive to you about the proposed project?			
Weaknesses/Concerns: If you felt this section was weak, what specifically would you share for how to improve the proposed project?			

CULTURAL COMPETENCY			
Cultural Competency Scoring	Points Awarded (0-5)	Weight	Total Points
Does the application: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Demonstrate that it is culturally sensitive and honors and values diverse life experiences (2 pts) Describe the agency's relevant experience working with the target population (2 pts) Describe the agency's commitment to conduct activities or provide services in an accessible, welcoming, and respectful manner (1 pt) 	5	1	5
Does the application describe: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> How the grant will serve diverse populations, especially populations experiencing inequities and/or disparities (2 pts) How the ethnic, racial, economic, cultural, and geographic diversity of the agency's leadership staff, board of directors, and staff reflects the participants they intend to work with (2 pts) How the lived experience of the agency's leadership staff, board of directors, and staff is reflective of the participants they intend to work with (1 pt) 	5	1	5
Cultural Competency Scoring Subtotal			10
Cultural Competency Comments			
Strengths: If you felt this section was strong, what specifically would you share was persuasive to you about the proposed project?			
Weaknesses/Concerns: If you felt this section was weak, what specifically would you share for how to improve the proposed project?			

EVALUATION			
Evaluation Scoring	Points Awarded (0-5)	Weight	Total Points
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Did the application include resources or capacities they have internally to track success and/or supports they will/would seek externally (2 pts) Were measured results included (2 pts) Does the evaluation align with the workplan (1 pt) 	5	1	5
Evaluation Scoring Subtotal			5
Evaluation Comments			
Strengths: If you felt this section was strong, what specifically would you share was persuasive to you about the proposed project?			
Weaknesses/Concerns: If you felt this section was weak, what specifically would you share for how to improve the proposed project?			

BUDGET			
Budget Scoring	Points Awarded (0-5)	Weight	Total Points
Does the budget reflect reasonable and necessary expenses?	5	1	5
The budget requests an appropriate amount based on number served and activities proposed	5	1	5
Budget Scoring Subtotal			10
Budget Comments			
Strengths: If you felt this section was strong, what specifically would you share was persuasive to you about the proposed project?			
Weaknesses/Concerns: If you felt this section was weak, what specifically would you share for how to improve the proposed project?			

TOTAL SCORE/OVERALL COMMENTS	
	Total Score <i>(Out of 80 possible points)</i>
Overall Strengths	80
Overall Concerns	

RESTITUTION PROGRAM, if applicable (Juvenile-Based Applications Only)		
Criteria	Y/N	Y/N
Does the application reflect: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> An initiative for juvenile offenders (adult not eligible) Approval process of listed policies & procedures by the Restorative Practices Advisory Committee 		
Does the application reflect: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policies & procedures verifying a person was a victim of a qualifying offense Policies & procedures verifying the economic loss or other harm sustained by the victim Policies & procedures around appropriate data maintenance of private data Policies & procedures verifying payment of the reimbursement paid to the victim and record of its payment 		